Fiscal Year 2004 (FY04) Department of Defense (DOD)

Myeloproliferative Disorders Research Program (MPDRP) Supplement to the US Army Medical Research and Materiel Command (USAMRMC) Broad Agency Announcement (BAA) 02-1

I. OVERVIEW OF THE FY04 MPDRP

The USAMRMC has been directed to conduct innovative research and development with specific goals and endpoints. The Defense Appropriations Act of 2004 (Public Law 107-248) provides \$4.25 million (M) to fund peer-reviewed medical research in specific chronic myeloproliferative disorders. Such disorders include polycythemia vera, idiopathic myelofibrosis, and essential thrombocytosis. The USAMRMC has assigned this program to the Office of Congressionally Directed Medical Research Programs (CDMRP). Based on congressional guidance published in the FY04 Defense Appropriations Act, the MPDRP was established to provide support for military health-related research of clear scientific merit. **Proposals submitted to the FY04 MPDRP must be scientifically meritorious and must clearly address the military relevance of the proposed efforts.**

Proposals are being solicited from agencies of local, state, and federal governments; educational institutions; non-profit organizations; and private industry. Since military relevance is a critical programmatic review criterion, applicants are strongly encouraged to collaborate and integrate their projects with military and/or Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) research laboratories and programs.

In order to complete submission requirements for the FY04 MPDRP, applicants will need a copy of this FY04 Supplement, the USAMRMC BAA 02-1, and appropriate appendices. The USAMRMC BAA 02-1 is available at http://www.usamraa.army.mil/.

Once you access the website:

- Click on the "BAA" link (located on the left-hand side).
- Click on "USAMRMC BAA 02-1" to access the BAA 02-1, Appendices, sample Technical Abstract, and sample Statement of Work.
- Click on "USAMRMC BAA Announcements" to access the electronic version of this FY04 Supplement.

All guidelines contained in this FY04 Supplement supersede BAA 02-1 instructions. Those sections in BAA 02-1 that must be referenced for proposal preparation are noted in this supplement by page number.

Proposals will be assessed based on how they complement existing DOD research. Applicants are expected to survey the peer-reviewed literature in order to avoid duplication of previously described research efforts, including those previously supported by the DOD and/or its investigators. An additional source containing documentation of previously accomplished research supported by the DOD can be found at the Defense Technical Information Center website at http://www.dtic.mil. To help identify DOD-specific areas of interest within each FY04 MPDRP research topic listed in Subsection III-A, applicants are encouraged to review ongoing research described on the following websites:

• http://www.usamraa.army.mil

• http://cdmrp.army.mil

• http://www.arl.army.mil

• http://www.onr.navy.mil

• http://www-nehc.med.navy.mil

• http://www.nhrc.navy.mil

- http://www.nrl.navy.mil
- http://www.afrl.af.mil
- http://www.brooks.af.mil
- http://www.va.gov/resdev
- http://www.acq.osd.mil

II. GENERAL INFORMATION

- **A.** Electronic Submission: All proposals and supporting documentation must be submitted electronically to the FY04 MPDRP. Electronic submission of proposals differs from the USAMRMC BAA 02-1 submissions (refer to Section IV, Proposal Preparation and Submission Information). **No paper copies will be accepted.**
- **B. eReceipt Website to Access Application Package:** Proposals must be submitted electronically at https://cdmrp.org. This website will contain all the information, forms, documents, and links you will need to apply. If you experience difficulties in downloading documents, contact CDMRP as indicated in Subsection C below.
- **C. Questions Related to Electronic Submission:** Help lines will be available to answer specific questions regarding the preparation of proposals for electronic submission, or the process of electronic submission. Help desk contact information is:

Phone: 301-682-5507

Website: https://cdmrp.org (the proposal submission website)

E-mail: help-proposals-cdmrp@cdmrp.org

D. For Non-eReceipt-Related Questions (for example: questions regarding Certifications and Assurances for Assistance Agreements), please contact:

Ms. Patricia Evans, Contract Officer US Army Medical Research Acquisitions Activity (USAMRAA) 820 Chandler Street Fort Detrick, MD 21702-5014 301-619-7354

- E. Critical Steps for Successful File Submission:
- The Proposal Information must be submitted prior to submission of the proposal. Applicants are encouraged to begin this part of the submission process early.

- The e-mail address of a Contract Representative from the applicant's Sponsored Programs Office (or equivalent) must be included.
- Applicants are encouraged to coordinate early with their Sponsored Programs Office.
- The Contract Representative from the applicant's Sponsored Programs Office (or equivalent) who is authorized to negotiate on behalf of the institution is required to provide final approval before the proposal is accepted.
- The eReceipt system will **not** accept data entry, file uploads, or approvals submitted after the **5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, June 30, 2004** deadline.
- Any supporting documentation that the applicant includes with the proposal must be incorporated into the PDF (Portable Document Format) file prior to upload.
- Some items included in the proposal will need to be scanned. These items might include figures, tables, letters, or publications. All scanned documents including figures should be scanned at a resolution of 300-400 dpi (dots per inch) or less.
- Budget Information includes the Detailed Cost Estimate and Justifications Form. Budget Information must be uploaded as a PDF file under the "Required Files" tab of the CDMRP eReceipt system.
- Regulatory, Compliance, and Quality (RCQ) and US Army Medical Research Acquisitions Activity (USAMRAA) documents are required at submission (see Subsections IV-G and IV-H). Regulatory documents must be uploaded under the "Required Files" tab, and USAMRAA documents must be uploaded under the Contract Representative's "My Profile" tab of the CDMRP eReceipt system.
- **F.** Cost Sharing: It is expected that institutions will cost share. Please see full details in Subsection IV-F, under Detailed Cost Estimate and Justifications Form Instructions.
- **G.** Administrative Compliance Issues: Compliance guidelines have been designed to ensure the presentation of all proposals in an organized and easy-to-follow manner. Peer reviewers expect to see a consistent, prescribed format for each proposal. Nonadherence to format requirements makes proposals difficult to read, may be perceived as an attempt to gain an unfair competitive advantage, and may result in proposal rejection or a lower global priority score.

The following will result in administrative rejection of the entire proposal prior to peer review:

- Proposal body exceeds page limit
- Proposal body is missing
- Detailed Cost Estimate is missing
- Proposal is incomplete after the deadline
- Required administrative documentation is not included

For any other sections of a proposal with a defined page limit, any pages over the specified limit will be removed from the proposal and not forwarded for peer review.

Unless specifically requested by the government, any material submitted after the submission deadline will not be forwarded for peer review.

H. Award/Regulatory Approval: Each award mechanism has specific requirements regarding human subjects and animal use. Please see Subsection IV-G for specific requirements.

Once an award is made, the applicant may not use, employ, or subcontract for the use of any human subjects, human anatomical substances, or laboratory animals without express written permission from the applicable USAMRMC Regulatory Compliance and Quality (RCQ) office. USAMRMC RCQ will forward these express written approvals directly to the applicant with a copy furnished to the institution's Sponsored Programs Office (or equivalent).

III. AWARD INFORMATION

- A. Research Topic Areas (Note: Your proposal must clearly address one or more of these topic areas for consideration. Additionally, submissions must specifically address the military relevance of the proposed research.):
 - Polycythemia Vera
 - Idiopathic Myelofibrosis
 - Essential Thrombocytosis

Failure to specifically (and thoroughly) address a given topic area will result in a negative peer and programmatic review evaluation.

- **B.** Military Relevance: Myeloproliferative disorders principally affect adults over age 50, although they may occur less commonly in younger adults. Specific causative factors, including those in the environment, have not yet been identified but these diseases are known to be an acquired mutation, an abnormality of DNA that occurs in an early marrow cell. This solicitation is interested in research on Polycythemia Vera, Idiopathic Myelofibrosis, and Essential Thrombocytosis as related to understanding the altered regulation, differentiation, and proliferation of the relevant hematopoietic and bone marrow stromal cells. Since military personnel are at risk for multiple environmental exposures (chemical, biological, and radiation) which may result in bone marrow alterations and consequent DNA damage, the program is especially seeking proposals that provide insight into the occurrence of DNA damage, DNA repair, development of clonal disease, and bone marrow microenvironment influences, among others, in the context of the myeloproliferative disorders. Proposals addressing the etiology, treatment and/or eradication of these disorders are especially sought. **Proposals must address the military relevance of the proposed research in order to be compliant with this solicitation.**
- C. Award Mechanisms (One award mechanism will be offered for this program.):

Investigator-Initiated: This traditional award mechanism is intended to support basic or clinical militarily relevant research studies focused on a target area solicited in this FY04 MPDRP Supplement to the BAA 02-1.

D. General Budget Guidelines: Budget requests are an important component of the peer and programmatic review evaluation processes. Budget guidelines and award lengths for the FY04 MPDRP are shown in the following table. The maximum budget recommendations are for the total budget, inclusive of direct and indirect costs. Budgets greater than \$500,000 will be negatively considered.

Award Mechanism	Recommended Maximum Budget	Period of Performance
Investigator-Initiated	\$500,000	Up to 3 years

IV. PROPOSAL PREPARATION AND SUBMISSION INFORMATION

A. Proposal Components Summary: This subsection is a summary of submission requirements. Details, URLs, and other links are provided in the appropriate subsections of this Supplement to the BAA 02-1.

The Principal Investigator (PI) is responsible for uploading the following information:

- **Proposal Information:** The Proposal Information consists of two parts, both of which are entered as data fields. A LOI is generated when a draft of Part 1 of the Proposal Information is saved. LOIs will be used to aid in determining proposal submission intent.
- Statement of Work (SOW), Technical Abstract, and Military Relevance Statement: The SOW, Technical Abstract, and Military Relevance Statement are each entered as a separate data field.
- **Proposal:** The proposal is uploaded as a PDF file under the "Required Files" tab.
- Verification Letters for Access to Military Recruits or Subjects and Materials: These letters of support should be uploaded as part of the proposal, if applicable.
- **Budget Information:** The budget information is uploaded as a PDF file under the "Required Files" tab.
- **Federal Agency Financial Plan Requirements:** The Federal Agency Financial Plan must be included as part of the Budget Information, if applicable.
- **Regulatory Documents:** These documents are each uploaded as separate PDF files under the "Required Files" tab. See Subsection IV-G for the specific documents that are required.

The Contract Representative (or equivalent) from the applicant's institution is responsible for the following:

- USAMRAA Documents: The institution's currently negotiated "Rate Agreement", "Certifications and Assurances for Assistance Agreements", and the "Representations for Assistance Agreements" are to be uploaded as separate PDF files under the Contract Representative "My Profile" tab.
- Approval: The Contract Representative or institutional official responsible for sponsored program administration must provide approval of all proposal components (Proposal Information, SOW, Technical Abstract, Military Relevance Statement, Proposal, Budget Information, Federal Agency Financial Plan, Transition Plan for the Advanced Technology Funding Mechanism, and Regulatory Documents). Contract Representative approval must occur prior to the submission deadline of 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, June 30, 2004. The eReceipt system will not accept data entry, file uploads, or approvals submitted after the 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, June 30, 2004 deadline.
- **B. Proposal Information:** Applicants are required to submit the Proposal Information, Parts 1 and 2, prior to upload of the proposal and the budget information. Complete the Proposal Information as described at https://cdmrp.org/proposals. The Proposal Information must include the e-mail address of a representative from the applicant's Sponsored Programs Office (or equivalent) who is authorized to negotiate on behalf of the institution.

- Letter of Intent: All applicants considering submission of a proposal in response to this Supplement to the USAMRMC BAA 02-1 are expected to submit an electronic LOI by April 30, 2004. Your LOI should include a brief description of the military relevance of the proposed project. Accordingly, the applicant should complete Part 1 of the Proposal Information section at https://cdmrp.org/proposals, then save the information by clicking on the "Save and Forward Letter of Intent" button. This information may be changed at any time until the applicant submits the final Proposal Information (by clicking on the "Submit Final" button).
- C. SOW: 11,400-Character Limit, Including Spaces (Approximately Two Pages): The SOW is captured as a data field under the "SOW/Abstracts" tab in the CDMRP eReceipt system. To submit the SOW, the applicant may either type in the SOW, or electronically cut and paste it from a word processing application into the data field.

The SOW is a concise restatement of the research proposal that outlines and establishes the PI's performance expectations and timeline for which the USAMRMC will provide financial support. Although some allowance is made for problems encountered and uncertainties that are part of research, the PI is expected to meet the provisions and milestones in the SOW. A sample SOW is included in the USAMRMC BAA 02-1 (please refer to the instructions on how to access the BAA 02-1 on the first page of this Supplement).

The SOW should be a series of relatively short statements that outline step-by-step how each of the major goals or objectives of the proposed research/services will be accomplished. As appropriate, the SOW should:

- Describe the work to be accomplished as tasks (tasks may relate to specific aims)
- Identify the timeline and milestones for the work over the period of the proposed effort
- Indicate the number of research subjects (animal or human) and/or anatomical samples projected or required for each task
- Identify methods
- Identify outcomes, products, and deliverables for each phase of the project, and
- Include the following information for each study site/subaward site that will be actively participating in the study:
 - Institution name
 - Institution address
 - Co-PI name
 - Animal or human use at this site

D. Technical Abstract and Military Relevance Statement:

1. Technical Abstract: A one-page (5,700-character limit, including spaces), structured technical abstract must be submitted as part of the Proposal Information. A sample technical abstract can be found at http://www.usamraa.army.mil/. The abstract is vitally important to both the peer and programmatic review process. Peer (scientific) review is conducted by scientific experts in specific or related topic areas. Programmatic review is conducted by the Joint Programmatic Review Panel (JPRP), composed of a team of federal and military scientists and

clinicians. The programmatic review process is based upon the JPRP's evaluation of the abstract as part of the peer review summary statement; therefore, it is paramount that the investigator submits an abstract that fully describes the proposed work (details in Subsection V-A[3]).

The abstract must contain the title of the proposal and the name of the PI. The abstract must be submitted as a data field under the "SOW/Abstracts" tab of the CDMRP eReceipt system. Applicants can either type in their abstract, or electronically cut and paste it from a word processing application into the respective data field. Do not include figures or tables in the abstract. Spell out all Greek or other non-English letters.

Abstracts of all funded proposals will be posted on the CDMRP website at http://cdmrp.army.mil. Thus, proprietary or confidential information should not be included in the abstract.

Abstract Guidelines: The structured technical abstract should provide a clear and concise overview of the proposed work, including the background, objective, or hypothesis and its supporting rationale, significance of the proposed work to the program's goals, specific aims of the study, and the study design.

Use the outline below for preparing the structured technical abstract.

- **Background:** Provide a brief statement of the ideas and reasoning behind the proposed work.
- **Objective/Hypothesis:** State the objective/hypothesis to be tested. Provide evidence or rationale that supports the objective/hypothesis.
- **Specific Aims:** State concisely the specific aims of the study.
- **Study Design:** Briefly describe the study design.
- **Relevance:** Provide a brief statement explaining the potential relevance of the proposed work to the specific topic area being addressed and its impact on health outcomes.
- 2. Military Relevance Statement: At the "SOW/Abstracts" tab of the CDMRP eReceipt system in the "Public Abstract" data field, provide a statement (5,700-character limit, including spaces) describing the military relevance of your proposal in relationship to the selected topic area. In cases where the proposed study involves military recruits or subjects, military-controlled study materials, databases, and/or restricted facilities (i.e., Biological or Chemical Containment Facilities) clearly identify the military collaborations/subawards/study sites and contributions to the study. The appropriate verification letter(s) of support should be uploaded as part of the study (see Subsection IV-E[2]). The Military Relevance Statement is reviewed in the Programmatic Review process.

E. Proposal

1. **Format:** All proposals must be converted into an electronic PDF file for electronic submission. Proposals must be uploaded under the "Required Files" tab of the CDMRP eReceipt system. Applicants unfamiliar with the preparation of PDF files are encouraged to acquire the software and learn the process before the submission deadline. To prepare proposals for PDF submission, the instructions in this section must be followed carefully.

The proposal must be clear and legible, and conform to the following guidelines:

- **Type Font:** 12 point, 10 pitch
- **Type Density:** No more than 15 characters per inch. (For proportional spacing, the average for any representative section of text should not exceed either 15 characters per inch or 114 characters per line.)
- **Spacing:** Single-spaced between lines of text, no more than five lines of type within a vertical inch
- Margins: Minimum of 0.5-inch top, bottom, right, and 1-inch left
- Color, Resolution, and Multimedia Objects: Proposals may include color, high resolution, or multimedia objects (e.g., MPEG, WAV, or AVI files) embedded in the PDF files; however, these objects must not exceed 15 seconds in length and a size of 10 megabytes (MB). Since some reviewers work from black and white printed copies, applicants may wish to include text in the proposal directing the reviewer to the electronic file for parts of the proposal that may be difficult to interpret when printed in black and white.
- **Acronyms:** Spell out all acronyms the first time they are used. One page following the proposal body is allocated to spell out acronyms, abbreviations, and symbols.
- Language: English
- Print Area: 7.0 x 10.0 inches (approximately 18 cm x 25.5 cm)
- 2. Sections of the Proposal (Note: These sections should be scanned into one PDF file before uploading): All guidelines contained in this FY04 Supplement supersede BAA 02-1 instructions.
 - **Research Proposal Cover Page:** Use the instructions and form provided in BAA 02-1, Appendix 3, which can be found at http://www.usamraa.army.mil/. (See the third paragraph of page 1 of this Supplement for further details).
 - **Table of Contents/Checklist:** Prepare a Table of Contents/Checklist, with page numbers, using the form provided on the last page of this Supplement. Number all pages consecutively at the bottom center, beginning with the Research Proposal Cover Page.
 - **Proposal Relevance Statement One-page Limit:** Start the Proposal Relevance Statement on a new page. Applicants should state explicitly (within the one-page limit) the proposal's relevance to the selected topic area and its impact on health outcomes.
 - Main Body of Proposal 25-page Limit: Start this section on a new page. Prepare the proposal body using the guidelines provided in the BAA 02-1, page 21. This section is limited to 25 pages inclusive of any figures, tables, graphs, photographs, diagrams, chemical structures, pictures, pictorials, cartoons, and other relevant information needed to judge the proposal.
 - **Appendices:** Page limits apply to certain appendices: Use the instructions and forms provided in the BAA 02-1 (see pages 24-25 and Appendices 7 and 11). Refer to each section below for specific details. Each section below can be accessed on the Internet at http://www.usamraa.army.mil/. (See the third paragraph of page 1 of this Supplement for further details.)

- Acronym and Symbol Definition: See BAA 02-1, page 24.
- **Bibliography:** See BAA 02-1, page 24.
- **Table of Contents/Checklist:** See BAA 02-1, page 24 and Appendix 7-1 and 7-2.
- Existing/Pending Support: See BAA 02-1, page 25.
- Facilities/Equipment Description: See BAA 02-1, page 25.
- Verification Letters for Access to Military Recruits or Subjects and Materials: See BAA 02-1, page 25. All guidelines contained in this FY04 Supplement supersede BAA 02-1 instructions. In cases where the proposed studies involve military recruits or subjects, military controlled study materials, databases and restricted facilities (i.e., Biological or Chemical Containment Facilities), a letter of support signed by the responsible commander (Installation, Troop, or Institute Commander) confirming access to recruits/military subjects and military materials is required. These letters of support should be uploaded as part of the proposal at the time of proposal submission (no later than 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, June 30, 2004). If letters cannot be submitted with the proposal, an electronic copy (in a format readable by IBM-compatible versions of Microsoft Office or Adobe Acrobat) on a formatted disk should be sent to the following address no later than July 30, 2004.

Attention: Program Manager, MPDRP US Army Medical Research and Materiel Command 1077 Patchel Street Fort Detrick, MD 21702-5024

Failure to submit such a letter (if applicable) will result in proposal rejection at programmatic review.

- F. Budget Information: All guidelines contained in this FY04 Supplement supersede BAA 02-1 instructions. Budget Information includes the detailed cost estimate forms, budget justification, and Federal Agency Financial Plan. Budget Information must be uploaded under the "Required Files" tab of the CDMRP eReceipt system prior to the receipt deadline of 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, June 30, 2004. (Note: Upload a new PDF file for this section.) The budget information will be forwarded to both tiers of review.
 - 1. Detailed Cost Estimate and Justifications Form Instructions: Use the Detailed Cost Estimate instructions provided in BAA 02-1, pages 21-24 and the forms provided in Appendix 6 (located at http://www.usamraa.army.mil/). Budget is an important consideration in both peer and programmatic review, and applicants are cautioned to use discretion in budget requests. Budgets will also be reviewed during award negotiations. Complete justification must be provided for expenses in all categories. Additionally, it is the policy of the DOD that all commercial and non-profit recipients provide the equipment needed to support proposed research. In those rare cases where specific additional equipment is approved for commercial and non-profit organizations, such approved cost elements shall be separately negotiated. Moreover, it is expected that institutions will share 50% of the cost of equipment purchased for this research proposal when individual equipment costs are equal to or exceed \$5,000.

2. Federal Agency Financial Plan Requirements: (Note: Start the plan on a new page at the end of the Budget Information section). The Federal Agency Financial Plan must be uploaded as part of the budget information prior to the receipt deadline of 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, June 30, 2004. Proposals from federal agencies are expected to provide a plan delineating how all funds will be obligated by September 30, 2005, and how funds will be available to cover research costs over the entire award period. The plan is expected to include mechanisms used to ensure carryover of funds between years such as administrative agreements with nonfederal institutions, foundations, and universities. Failure of federal agencies to include a financial plan to handle one-time receipt of funds dispensed over multiple years will result in a lower priority at programmatic review. (Note: Financial Plan requirements are not included in the BAA 02-1.)

Note: The maximum budget is inclusive of direct and indirect costs. Budgets greater than \$500,000 will be negatively considered.

- G. Regulatory Requirements: Completed and signed copies of each of the documents listed below must be uploaded as separate PDF files using the "Required Files" tab of the CDMRP eReceipt system by the June 30, 2004 submission deadline.
 - **1. Certification of Environmental Compliance:** This form can be found at https://cdmrp.org/programAnnouncements.cfm.
 - **2. Safety Program Documents:** See BAA 02-1, page 25 and Appendix 11 (found at http://www.usamraa.army.mil/).
 - **3. Research Involving Animals:** See BAA 02-1, page 25 and Appendix 10 (found at http://www.usamraa.army.mil/).
 - 4. Research Involving Human Subjects and/or Anatomical Substances: See BAA 02-1, page 25 and Appendix 9 (found at http://www.usamraa.army.mil/). The DOD considers cell lines of human origin to be human anatomical substances.
- **H. USAMRAA Requirements:** The most current version of the documents listed below must be uploaded by the Contract Representative from the applicant's Sponsored Programs Office (or equivalent). These documents must be uploaded as separate PDF files using the Contract Representative "My Profile" tab of the CDMRP eReceipt system by the **June 30, 2004** submission deadline.
 - 1. A copy of the institution's negotiated Rate Agreement
 - **2. Certifications and Assurances for Assistance Agreements:** This form can be found at https://cdmrp.org/programAnnouncements.cfm.
 - **3. Representations for Assistance Agreements:** This form can be found at https://cdmrp.org/programAnnouncements.cfm.
- I. Submission and Notification Dates and Times: Proposals must be approved on the CDMRP eReceipt system by the Contract Representative at the applicant's Sponsored Programs Office (or equivalent) by the deadline. Unlike other proposals submitted under BAA 02-1, which can be submitted throughout the year, FY04 MPDRP proposals must be submitted electronically by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, on June 30, 2004, or they will not be considered for review. The eReceipt system will not accept data entry, file upload, or approvals submitted after the 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time deadline.

The timeline for the FY04 MPDRP is:

Online Letter of Intent: 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, April 30, 2004

Online Proposal Information: Prior to proposal submission

Proposal Submission/Approval Deadline: 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, June 30, 2004 SCQ and USAMRAA Documents: 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time, June 30, 2004

Peer Review: August 2004
Programmatic Review: October 2004

Request for Additional Documents: As early as 2 weeks after the completion of

programmatic review (if needed)

Notification Letter: Approximately 4 weeks after programmatic

review

Award Start Date: Between December 2004 and September 2005

V. PROPOSAL REVIEW INFORMATION

A. Proposal Review and Selection Overview

- 1. **Process:** The CDMRP uses a two-tiered review process for proposal evaluation. The two tiers are fundamentally different. The first tier is a scientific peer review of proposals against established criteria for determination of scientific merit. The second tier is a programmatic review of proposals that compares submissions to each other and recommends proposals for funding based on scientific merit and direct relevance to military health, as well as other programmatic criteria and goals. The proposal evaluation criteria listed below are specific to the FY04 MPDRP and supersede any evaluation criteria that are listed in the BAA 02-1.
- **2. Peer Review:** Peer review is conducted by panels of scientists organized according to scientific discipline or specialty area. The primary responsibility of the peer review panels is to provide unbiased, expert advice on the scientific/technical merit and relevance of proposals based on the review criteria published for this award mechanism.

The peer review summary statement is the main product of scientific peer review. Each statement includes the applicant's structured technical abstract, the peer review score, proposal relevance statement, and an evaluation of the project as assessed by the peer reviewers according to the above evaluation criteria. Summary statements (not full proposals) are forwarded to the next stage of the review process, programmatic review.

Specific peer review criteria are provided below. The following review criteria supersede any listed in the BAA 02-1; they serve as the sole peer review criteria for Investigator-Initiated proposals.

- Research Strategy and Objectives: Are the hypotheses, experimental design, rationale, methods, and analyses adequately developed, appropriate, and well integrated to the aims of the project? Is the research more than a slight extension or repeat of currently funded research? Does the applicant acknowledge potential problem areas and consider alternative methods/tactics?
- **Impact:** Does the proposal directly address an FY04 MPDRP topic area and does it focus on an important and relevant problem that will advance research in this field? If the aims of the application are achieved, how will scientific or therapeutic knowledge be advanced? What will be the effect of these studies on the concepts or methods that drive this field? Are the results likely to be published in the peer-reviewed scientific literature?

- PI and Key Personnel Qualifications: Is the PI appropriately trained and well suited to guide this project? Have the PI and other key personnel committed a sufficient level of effort to ensure the success of this project? Is the work proposed appropriate to the experience and expertise of the PI and other researchers (if any)? Are letters of collaboration provided (if applicable)? Are conflicts-of-interest and commercial interests adequately identified and justified (if applicable)?
- **Facilities:** Is the scientific environment appropriate for the proposed research? Is there evidence that the research requirements are adequately supported by the scientific environment, necessary resources, and any collaborative arrangements proposed? Is there evidence of institutional support provided with the proposal?
- **Budget:** Is the budget well justified and appropriate for the research proposed? Does the budget exceed the maximum recommended limit? (Note: Budgets greater than \$500,000 will be negatively considered.) Are there any recommended or required changes that need to be made for personnel, travel, supplies, consultant, equipment costs, or the scope of the research (time or aims)? Is there evidence that, where appropriate, arrangements have been made to compensate human subjects/participants for expenses they incur from participating in the project?
- 3. Programmatic Review: The second tier of proposal review, programmatic review, is conducted by a team of federal and military scientists and clinicians. Programmatic review is a comparison-based process in which proposals from multiple research areas compete in a common pool. Panel members use the peer review summary statements (not the full proposals), technical abstract, Military Relevance Statements, and Federal Agency Financial Plans to make funding recommendations. Therefore it is in the applicant's best interest to be sure the technical abstract is as comprehensive as possible. The SOW may also be reviewed at this level. The ratings and evaluations of peer review panels are primary factors in programmatic review. Programmatic reviewers use the following seven criteria to assist in making their recommendations:
- Peer review recommendations;
- Relevance of proposed research to military health;
- Relevance/alignment to topic area;
- Programmatic priorities, which include congressional guidance, DOD priorities, VA priorities, and collaborations with federal researchers;
- MPDRP portfolio balance;
- Past performance on DOD awards, if applicable; and
- Federal Agency Financial Plan (refer to Subsection IV-F[2]).

Scientifically sound proposals that best fulfill the above peer and programmatic review criteria, and most effectively address the unique focus and goals of the MPDRP will be recommended to the Commanding General, USAMRMC, for funding.

Fiscal Year 2004 Myeloproliferative Disorders Research Program Table of Contents/Checklist

Proposal Log Number:			
Principal Investigator:			
Last Name	First Name	MI	
Proposal Topic Area ¹ :			
Proposal Title:			
Investigator-Initiated Research Award Proposal Submission Deadline: 5:00 p.m. Eastern	Гіте, June 30, 2004		
Yes		Page Number	
□ Proposal Information			
Letter of Intent (requested by April 30, 2004)			
□ Statement of Work (11,400 character limit)			
☐ Technical Abstract (5,700 character limit)			
☐ Military Relevance Statement (5,700 character limit			
□ Research Proposal Cover Page (1 page)			
☐ Table of Contents/Checklist (1 page)			
□ Proposal Relevance Statement (1-page limit)			
☐ Main Body of Proposal (25-page limit)			
☐ Acronym and Symbol Definition (1-page limit)			
□ Bibliography (no page limit)			
☐ Biographical Sketches (3-page limit per individual)			
□ Existing/Pending Support (no page limit)		······	
☐ Facilities/Equipment Description (no page limit)	1: :0 :0 1: 11	······	
☐ Questionnaires, Surveys, or Clinical Protocols (no p	age limit) – if applicable		
□ Publications and/or Patent Abstracts (5-document li	mit) – if applicable	·············	
□ Collaboration and Joint Sponsorship			
□ Verification Letters of Access to Military Recruits .			
or Subjects and Materials-if applicable			
Submitted with the Proposal			
or no later than July 30, 2004 if on formatted disc			
□ Budget Information			
Federal Agency Financial Plan			
□ Regulatory Documents			
☐ US Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity D	ocuments	N/A	

NOTE: Exceeding page limits may result in proposal rejection prior to peer review. Submit only materials specifically requested or required in this Supplement to the Broad Agency Announcement. Submission of additional materials may be construed as an attempt to gain an unfair advantage.

13

¹ Select one or more topic areas from the list in Subsection III-A of this Supplement.